

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/730,638	12/08/2003	Kaoru Takeishi	5576-156	3023
20792 75	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			NGUYEN, CAM N	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,638	TAKEISHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam N. Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/20 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on originally filed is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement of t	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed March 20, 2006, has been made of record and entered. Claims 1 & 3 have been amended. Claim 7 has been canceled.
 Claims 1-6 & 8 are currently pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niu et al., "hereinafter Niu", (Pre-Grant Pub. No. US 2003/0180215 A1).

Niu discloses a supported catalyst comprising a plurality of particles, each said particle comprising a catalytic component and a porous refractory support (see page 13, claim 35). Suitable catalytic components including Cu, Fe, and Zn (see page 14, claim 38). Suitable porous refractory support materials including alumina (see page 14, claim 40). The catalyst has an average pore diameter of about 10-100 nm (or 100-1,000 A) (see page 14, claim 47).

Art Unit: 1754

With respect to the claimed pore size distribution limitation, it is considered the claim is anticipated by the teaching of the reference because the claimed pore diameter falls within the disclosed pore diameter.

No difference is seen between the claimed catalyst and the catalyst disclosed by Niu. Thus, the claim is anticipated by the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 5, & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niu et al., "hereinafter Niu", (Pre-Grant Pub. No. US 2003/0180215 A1).

Niu discloses a supported catalyst as described above, except for the claimed metal concentrations.

However, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have predetermined optimum amounts of such metal concentrations in Niu in order to achieve an effective catalyst, because of <u>In re Boesch</u>.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niu et al., "hereinafter Niu", (Pre-Grant Pub. No. US 2003/0180215 A1), as applied to claim 1

Art Unit: 1754

above, and further in view of Shikada et al., "hereinafter Shikada", (US Pat. 6,361,757 B1).

Page 4

Niu discloses a supported catalyst as described above, except for Mn and its concentration.

However, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated by adding manganese or Mn and at the concentration as suggested by Shikada to the catalyst of Niu in order to achieve an effective catalyst in Niu because Shikada teaches to employ a sufficient and effective amount of a third metal component, i.e., Mn, at the concentration of 1-70 wt.% or less, in general, aobut 1-30 wt.% (see Shikada at col. 3, ln 23-31).

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al., "hereinafter Cai", (US Pat. 6,627,572 B1).

Cai discloses a process of preparing a catalyst by precipitating the copper and zinc components separately from the aluminum component in aqueous solution to produce a catalyst precursor mixture (see col. 5, ln 12-14). Some acids can also be used in the process (see col. 5, ln 33-40). See also col. 5, ln 45-49. After precipitating, the resulting precipitate is then dried and calcined and formed into appropriate shapes (see col. 6, ln 10-21).

Cai does not disclose the "reducing" step. It would have been *prima facie*obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the reducing step into the process of Cai in order to obtain a reduced

1

Art Unit: 1754

catalyst because it is known and conventional to convert metal oxide catalyst materials into metallic form catalyst materials by reducing.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

8. Applicants' response, filed on March 20, 2006, has been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection(s) above.

Further, applicants' urging regarding the claimed "reducing step" of claim 8 is noted. It is considered the rejection is still proper and the examiner maintained the same reason concluded that it is known and conventional to convert metal oxide catalyst materials into metallic form catalyst materials by reducing.

Citations

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached.

Conclusion

- 10. Claims 1-6 & 8 are pending. Claims 1-6 & 8 are rejected. No claims are allowed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/730,638 Page 6

Art Unit: 1754

number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

37 (ton 1100).

DRIMARY EXAMINER

Nguyen/cnn ៚ May 30, 2006

Art Unit - 1754